



## CLARIFICATIONS

1. Is state of Aurom in pari materia to the state of Assam?

**Not relevant for the present problem statement.**

2. Are all laws of Omberlands in pari materia with the laws of India (under Article 13)?

**No. Only those which are expressly provided in the problem statement.**

3. Except Section 3 and 6A of Citizenship Act 1955, as mentioned in para 13 of the moot proposition, are all the laws of Omberlands in pari materia to the laws of India?

**Same as above.**

4. The last paragraph (Para 13) of the moot problem mentions that the Constitution of Omberland and the Omberlands Citizenship Act are in pari materia with the Constitution of India and the Indian Citizenship Act respectively. Hence our query is that whether other national laws of India and the International Treaties and Conventions India is a party to are also pari materia to those in Omberland?

**Same as above.**

5. Whether the Constitution of Omberlands which is pari materia with that of India also applies to Section 3 and Section 6-A as given in the moot problem, even though it is different from the Indian Citizenship Act?

**To be inferred from the problem statement itself.**

6. The country of Mumbaria is pari materia to the laws of which country?

**Not relevant.**



7. Is the Constitution of Mumbaria in pari materia with the constitution of Pakistan?

**Not relevant.**

8. Para 13 of the Moot Proposition specifies that the Constitution of India and the Indian Citizenship Act, 1955 are pari materia to the Omberlands.

a. Are only these specified laws pari materia or are all the laws of India pari material to the laws of Omberlands?

**Same as above.**

b. India is a signatory to various International Treaties. Are they also pari materia to Omberlands?

**No. However, they may have some persuasive value.**

c. Are the Rules of the Courts of India pari materia to the Courts of Omberlands in the instant case?

**Supreme Court Rules would be the similar (to the extent it is relevant) to the (Indian) Supreme Court Rules, 2013.**

9. What about laws other than Constitution and Citizenship Act, such as Civil Procedure Code, Contract Act etc., are they pari materia with the Indian Laws?

**Same as stated above. However, analogies may be drawn.**

10. Is the 7th Schedule of the Constitution of Omberland pari materia to India's Constitution?

**To be inferred from the problem statement.**

11. Was the petitioner, SWIPA involved in the state wide uprisings?

**Participants are expected to stick to the facts stated in the problem.**

12. Are the issues claimed by SWIPA in the petition filed before the honorable SC exhaustive?

**Yes. However, candidates can draft sub-issues that can fit in the framework.**



13. Whether issues given are exhaustive or we can add any other issue?

**Same as above.**

14. Can you please make it clear whether these points are illustrative issues of the problem or definite issues?

**Same as above.**

15. Are the teams permitted to frame issues differently/ add their own issues apart from the issues given on Page 5 of the moot problem?

**Same as above.**

16. Can we merge two issues?

**Not in the memorials (can do so at the time of oral submissions, if permitted by the concerned Bench).**

17. Whether the order of the issues can be changed in the written submissions?

**There is nothing in the Rules of the Competition permitting or restricting the same.  
The same depends on the discretion of the bench.**

18. Can Union of Omberlands and Republic of Omberlands be used interchangeably in the written submission?

**To be inferred from the problem statement.**

19. Will all the facts of the report ‘Ombers’ be considered true?

**To be inferred from the problem statement and the law governing such reports. To be treated in the same manner as any other newspaper report.**

20. Pertaining to Para 10 of the Moot Proposition:

a. It mentions Government records that states number of people deported. Are they verified reports or reports taken or record of the Government? Also, these reports are



published by which Government?

**To be understood keeping in mind with the language used in the problem statement.**

b. The same paragraph further mentions that “The Government of Omberlands was also reported to be stating...the present government is not bound by the same....” Kindly clarify as to the authenticity, admissibility and evidentiary value of the aforementioned report.

**Same as above.**

21. Para 10 of the Moot Proposition mentions the report Ombers. Is this report a verified report or report taken on record? Kindly clarify as to the admissibility of such report in a court of law?

**Same as above.**

22. What is the source of the “unverified reports” mentioned in Para 5 of the moot problem?

**Same as above.**

23. The entire Proposition mentions ‘reports’ across paragraphs. What is the credibility of these verified/unverified reports and admissibility of these reports in the Court of law in the instant case? Which is the verifying authority, if any?

**Same as above. To be treated in the same manner as any other newspaper report.**

24. Para 6 of the Moot Proposition mentions about a report regarding employment and settlement of Mumbarians. Are these verified or unverified reports?

**To be treated in the same manner as any other newspaper report.**

25. Is the petition a PIL filed under Article 32?

**Yes.**

26. Para 11 of the Moot Proposition states that a writ petition has been filed. Is this strictly a writ petition or a PIL? Also, is the writ petition filed on behalf of public at large?



**Same as above.**

27. As mentioned in the last line of Para 12, is 29th June 2019, the correct date provided?

**Hypothetical date- please read it as 29th September 2019.**

28. In Para 10, last line, is the date incorrectly mentioned as 1989?

**No.**

29. Does the amendment to section 6A have any resemblance to the current NRC Anti - Citizenship Act? Does it include people from all religion, caste etc. within section 6A or is there any discrimination among the people?

**To be inferred from the problem statement.**

30. The Citizenship Act used in the moot problem is of 1955. So, do we have to use the amended Citizenship Act of 1985 or the Citizenship Act which is mentioned in moot Problem?

**Reference to the Act includes its amendments also (to the extent they are relevant).**

31. Was a Bill introduced in the Parliament of Omberlands for amending the Citizenship Act?

**Yes.**

32. Does the Foreigners Act, 1946 apply to Omberlands?

**Can be used to draw analogies.**

33. Can we frame arguments using the Foreigners Act, 1946?

**Can be used to draw analogies.**



34. Has the Prime Minister or the President entered into the agreement?

**Already mentioned in the problem statement.**

35. If the Prime Minister has entered into the agreement, has he been authorized by the President to do so or not?

**To be inferred from the facts.**

36. Para 8 of the moot problem says 'the Prime Minister of Omberlands entered into a Tripartite Agreement with....'. Was this decision taken by the Prime Minister with the backing of Cabinet of Ministers or the Parliament?

**To be inferred from the facts.**

37. Are the petitioners claiming only for compensation or also for the enforcement of the Accord?

**To be inferred from the facts.**

38. Is there any time limit in the agreement that the government would fulfill all the provisions within that time limit?

**To be inferred from the facts.**

39. Whether the part of the Tripartite Agreement that is provided in the moot proposition has to be read with the whole of Assam Accord or only the relevant portions provided in the problem?

**Submissions would have to be framed only as per the words used in para 8.**

40. Could you please provide the annexure of the Tripartite Agreement?

**Submissions would have to be framed only as per the words used in para 8.**

41. As mentioned in Paragraph 5 the large-scale airstrikes which were carried out by Omberland in Mumbaria caused significant loss to Balian armed forces. Hence our query



is that whether the armed forces of Mumbaria and the civilians also affected by the airstrikes?

**To be inferred from the language used in the problem statement.**

42. Were the airstrikes voluntary on the part of Omberland or Mumbaria asked for their help?

**To be inferred from the language used in the problem statement.**

43. Does Mumbaria grant citizenship by descent? If yes, then what's the exact law?

**Facts are silent on it.**

44. In section 3 of the Citizenship Act of Omberland, they haven't talked about the other parent's citizenship. Is the second parent a legal or an illegal citizen?

**To be inferred from the language used in the problem statement.**

45. What is the status of the people who have migrated to Aurom after 1991? Are they staying in that state without the permission of the government to classify them as illegal immigrants?

**To be inferred from the language used in the problem statement.**

46. Is Omberland a party to the Refugee Convention and the Convention on status of Stateless?

**No.**

47. When are the next elections going to be held in the Republic of Omberlands and the State of Aurom?

**Facts are silent on it.**

48. Para 2 of the Moot Proposition states that there have been various instances of marriage between the Aurom and Mumbarian people. This paragraph essentially speaks about the history of Aurom and Omberlands. Are these instances also part of history and custom of



people of Aurom and Omberlands?

**To be inferred from the language used in the problem statement.**

49. Para 4 of the Moot Proposition mentions that the ‘Government’ had verbally assured the locals of Aurom that the situation was only a temporary one. Which ‘Government’ has been spoken about here - the Government of Omberlands or the Government of Aurom? **Omberlands.**

50. Is the People's Republic of Mumbaria, after Independence on 25th January a secular state or not?

**Facts are silent on it.**